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Virtualization

For foundations, see [Popek74]. This talk deals mainly with the open-source hypervisors Xen [Barham03] and KVM [Kivity07].
Network I/O is tough

- High packet rate (1GE $\Rightarrow$ 10GE)
- Data must often be copied on receive
- High bandwidth, high throughput, low latency

![Graph showing CPU utilization comparison between Xen and Linux](image)

**Figure 1:** Xen network CPU utilization vs. Linux [Santos07]
Virtual Machine I/O

- Virtual machines use three models for I/O
  - Emulation
  - Para-virtualized drivers
  - Pass-through access
Emulation

- Hypervisor emulates real I/O devices [Sugerman01]
- Virtual machine uses its standard drivers
- Hypervisor traps device accesses (MMIO, PIO)
- Hypervisor emulates interrupts and DMA
- Interface limited to low-level, real device interface!
  - Which is not a good fit for software emulation

⇒ High compatibility but low performance.
Para-virtualization

- Hypervisor and VM cooperate for more efficient I/O [Barham03]
- Hypervisor specific drivers installed in the VM
- Network device level or higher up the stack

⇒ Low compatibility but better performance [Santos08].
Pass-through

- Give VM direct access to a hardware device
- Without any software intermediaries between the virtual machine and the device
- Examples:
  - Legacy adapters [Ben-Yehuda06]
  - Self-virtualizing adapters [Liu06], [Willman07]

⇒ Best performance—but at a price.
Pass-through security

- Untrusted VM programs a device, without any supervision.
- Device is DMA capable (all modern devices are).
  - Which means the domain can program the device to overwrite any memory location.
- …including where the hypervisor lives … game over.
Pass-through memory addressing

- VM is not aware of host physical memory.
- VM is only aware of its own guest “physical” memory.
- Device DMAs need to end at the right place (host, not guest “physical” memory).
- VM programs device with guest physical addresses ⇒ DMAs end up at the wrong place!
IOMMU to the rescue

- IOMMU—think MMU for I/O devices—separate address spaces, protection from malicious devices!
- IOMMUs enable pass-through access for para-virtualized and fully-virtualized VMs.
- Intra-VM vs. Inter-VM protection [Willman08]
- But: IOMMUs have costs too [Ben-Yehuda07]
Pass-through network throughput

- Msg size < 1024: throughput as much as 45% less.
- Msg size >= 1024: throughput barely affected.
Pass-through network CPU utilization

Pass-through CPU utilization is up to 40%–60% more!
Live VM migration
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Tying it all together

- How can we get the same performance as bare metal?
  - Throughput and CPU utilization
  - … on 10GbE
- How can we get the performance of bare-metal with the benefits of virtual drivers? (e.g., live migration)
  - A hybrid approach? [Zhai08]
  - Custom-made devices? [Liu07]
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